



Tom Worden

Called: 2017

Email: clerks@187chambers.com

Telephone: 020 7430 7430

Tom specialises in serious crime.

He regularly prosecutes and defends in serious criminal matters involving murder, serious violence, firearms, drug trafficking, fraud and sexual offences.

Recent cases include:

- A youth client found not guilty by a jury of murder (defending as a led junior).
- A client found not guilty by a jury of importing £18m worth of cocaine into the UK.
- Historic multiple rapes of a child under 13.
- Conspiracy to import over 100 kilos of cannabis from the US.
- A £15m mortgage fraud conspiracy.

Tom has appeared in the Court of Appeal Criminal Division, where he has dealt with successful appeals and by way of case stated in the High Court.

He has been praised by a Crown Court judge for the 'robust and effective' manner in which he cross-examines witnesses. A solicitor described him as 'an excellent advocate and a delight to instruct'.

Testimonials

"Tom has a very calm and methodical approach to his work, and great attention to detail. He creates a great rapport with all his clients, who wholeheartedly trust him in his advocacy and preparatory skills, and this completely shows when he conducts his cases in court with great professionalism. Tom is a skilled advocate who will no doubt go on to achieve much in his career."

"Tom is able to identify the difficulties in any cases and how to overcome them and provide sound advice to the client. He has a very good way with clients and is able to put them at ease."

Legal 500 2025

Ranked In





Cases

Criminal Defence

R v J – 2024 (Maidstone Crown Court) – client found not guilty by a jury of the rape of a 17-year-old girl.

R v M – 2024 (Canterbury Crown Court) – client found not guilty by a jury of importing 18m worth of cocaine.

R v S - 2024 (Luton Crown Court) - youth client found not guilty of murder and manslaughter following a trial (led junior).

R v A – 2024 (Canterbury Crown Court) – historic multiple rapes of a child under 13.

R v R – 2024 (Aylesbury Crown Court) – conspiracy to import over 100 kilos of cannabis from the US.

R v S – 2024 (Southwark Crown Court) – a complex £15m mortgage fraud conspiracy.

R v E – 2024 (Luton Crown Court) – two-handed attempted murder (led junior).

R v A - 2022 (Stafford Crown Court) - Operation Scarf. A modern slavery case in which the client was acquitted of all charges following a submission of no case to answer (led junior).

R v S – 2021 (Teesside Crown Court) – Operation Spoonbill. A multi-million-pound Class A drugs conspiracy described as one of Cleveland police's most complex ever investigations (led junior).

R v SM [2021] EWCA Crim 1917 **(Court of Appeal Criminal Division)** – S's sentence for serious sexual offences was reduced on appeal from 26 years (18 years plus 8 years extended licence) to 20 years (14 years plus 6 years extended licence). Tom was instructed after the barrister who had represented S at sentence advised there were no grounds to appeal the 26-year sentence.

Criminal Prosecution

R v P - 2024 (Chelmsford Crown Court) - conviction following trial for multiple offences of assault by penetration of a girl aged four.

R v A – 2024 (Canterbury Crown Court) – trial for s.18 wounding by stabbing.

2/3



DPP v Heaton [2024] EWHC 1808 (Admin) – successful appeal by way of case stated against a magistrates' court's decision to acquit a defendant charged with harassing his former girlfriend. The acquittal was substituted with a conviction. Instructed by the CPS Appeals and Review Unit.

R v M and others – 2024 (Basildon Crown Court) – Operation Cheese. A multi-handed trial for a Class A county lines drug conspiracy (led junior).

R v M – 2024 (Ipswich Crown Court) – two-handed s.18 GBH with intent by stabbing.

R v S – 2024 (Southwark Crown Court) – prosecution of a serving police officer for ABH.

R v A – 2023 (Croydon Crown Court) – a trial for s.18 GBH by stabbing to the face and neck.

MC v CPS [2022] EWHC 1466 (Admin) – Instructed by the CPS Appeals and Review Unit to respond to an appeal from the Crown Court by way of case stated. The issue was whether the Crown Court had been wrong to find an offence was racially aggravated within the meaning of section 28 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998.

Professional Memberships

- · Criminal Bar Association
- The South Eastern Circuit
- Honourable Society of the Inner Temple
- Grade 3 CPS Counsel
- CPS RASSO panel

Awards, Qualifications & Other

- BPTC University of Law (Outstanding)
- GDL University of Law (Distinction)
- MSc International Relations LSE
- BA History LSE (2:1)
- Fluent in Spanish

Direct Access